

TASHEEL تـســهـيــل

شرکة محاماة | LAW FIRM

Maintenance Management Under Aircraft Leasing: Methods and Rationale for Selection

Introduction

Aircraft leasing plays a pivotal role in the modern aviation industry, enabling airlines to access aircraft capacity without the full capital cost of ownership. By 2024, over half of the global commercial fleet was leased rather than owned outright. In leasing, ownership resides with the lessor, who retains the residual value risk of the aircraft, while operational control and maintenance responsibility fall to the lessee. This separation of roles creates the need for carefully defined mechanisms to ensure that the aircraft remains airworthy and that its residual value is protected throughout term. Different lease contractual approaches exist to manage maintenance obligations. Each model allocates risk, cost, and administrative burden differently between lessor and lessee. This article analyses the principal methods of maintaining an aircraft under lease-namely hourly-based end-of-lease maintenance reserves. compensation, full-life and half-life structures. and OEM

power-by-the-hour programmes and evaluates the rationale for selecting a particular option under differing operational and financial conditions.

Maintenance Responsibilities in Aircraft Leasing

Under a standard operating lease, the lessee undertakes to operate and maintain the aircraft accordance with regulatory and manufacturer requirements. The lease agreement therefore incorporates detailed maintenance covenants defining inspection intervals, maintenance standards, and return conditions. Although the lessee physically maintains the aircraft, the lessor retains an economic interest in its condition, since future leasing risk and asset value depend on proper upkeep. To manage this shared interest, various financial and procedural arrangements have evolved. These range from advance accrual systems to post-lease compensation, depending on the creditworthiness of the lessee, aircraft age, and market dynamics.

Methods of Managing Maintenance under a Lease

Hourly-Based Maintenance (Maintenance Reserves / Power-bythe-Hour)

The maintenance reserve system, often referred to as Power-by-the-Hour (PBH), requires the lessee to pay the lessor a fixed rate per flight hour or cycle for major components such as engines, landing gear, and the airframe. These payments are accumulated by the lessor and released as reimbursements when qualifying maintenance events occur. This mechanism ensures that funds are always available for costly overhauls and protects the lessor from maintenance default risk. It also aligns maintenance funding with aircraft utilisation. However, it imposes administrative complexity and can constrain lessee cash flow, as funds may remain with the lessor even if unused at lease expiry. PBH systems are widely applied to midalife aircraft and to lessees with weaker credit profiles, where the lessor seeks maximum financial protection.

End-of-Lease Compensation or Return*Condition Model

An alternative approach dispenses with monthly reserve payments. Instead, the

lessee agrees to return the aircraft in a specified condition at maintenance lease end compensate the lessor for any shortfall. Typical return conditions specify minimum remaining life on engines, landing gear, and airframe checks. This model favours airlines with strong liquidity, as it defers maintenance costs until redelivery. For the lessor, however, it introduces higher exposure if the lessee defaults or fails to meet the required return standards. Such structures are for strong-credit common lessees and newer aircraft where the risk of default is minimal and market competition encourages lessor flexibility.

Full-Life or Green-Time Lease

A full-life or green-time lease involves delivering the aircraft with all major components freshly overhauled, thereby ensuring full remaining maintenance life at delivery. No reserves are charged during the lease term, and the lessee operates the aircraft until major checks become due. This approach is prevalent in short-term or transitional leases, often for older aircraft nearing the end of their economic life. The lessor recovers maintenance investment through slightly higher rental rates rather than ongoing reserve payments.

Half-Life or Condition-Based Lease

The half-life structure delivers and returns the aircraft in similar mid≌life condition. approximately halfway through each maintenance interval. No regular reserves are collected; instead, the lessee compensates the lessor for any deterioration relative to the delivery condition. While this balances risk between parties, it often involves complex calculations and potential disputes during redelivery. It is best suited for midalife assets where neither full*life nor reserve*based approaches are optimal.

OEM or Third-Party Power-by-the-Hour Programmes

Manufacturers and maintenance providers increasingly offer comprehensive PBH services, such as Rolls-Royce TotalCare, GEOnPoint, or Lufthansa Technik's Total Component Support. Under these arrangements, the lessee pays the provider a fixed rate per flight hour, covering both scheduled and unscheduled maintenance. When an aircraft is enrolled in such a programme, lessors often accept it as an alternative to their own reserve requirements. This ensures maintenance quality and cost predictability for the lessee, though it limits the lessor's direct oversight. These programmes are particularly suited to newer aircraft and engines, which benefit from OEM-level performance quarantees and data*driven maintenance planning.

Hybrid or Tailored Structures

Many leases adopt hybrid approaches, combining reserve payments for certain components (e.g., engines) with return-condition clauses for others (e.g., airframe). Such tailoring allows the parties to adjust risk sharing to the specifics of the deal, aircraft type, and lessee credit profile.

Factors Influencing the Choice of Maintenance Structure

Several determinants shape the choice of maintenance management method:

- 1. Lessee Creditworthiness: Strong airlines can negotiate for end-of-lease or OEM-based models, while lessors dealing with weaker operators prefer hourly reserves for security.
- 2. Aircraft Age and Maintenance Stage: Older aircraft near expensive checks require reserve funding; new aircraft under warranty are better suited to OEM PBH programmes.
- 3. Lease Duration: Short leases often adopt full-life or condition*based structures; longer leases necessitate continuous funding through reserves.
- **4.** Market Competition: In competitive markets, lessors may relax reserve requirements to win contracts, relying instead on the lessee's credit standing.
- 5. Operational Environment and Utilisation: High-utilisation aircraft benefit from per-hour accruals that match maintenance cost with operation, reducing cash-flow volatility.

Justification for Selecting a Particular Option

Selecting the optimal maintenance model requires aligning financial risk management with technical maintenance cycles. For example, a twelve-year-old Airbus A320 leased to a developing-market carrier would iustify a maintenance reserve structure. Given the aircraft's age and proximity to major overhauls, reserves ensure that sufficient funds exist to perform heavy maintenance, thereby safeguarding the lessor's residual value. Conversely, a five-year-old Boeing 787 leased to major flag carrier enrolled in Rolls-Royce TotalCare could under a return-condition or OEM PBH model. The lessee's strong financial position and the OEM's quaranteed maintenance coverage render additional reserves unnecessary. Ultimately, the chosen structure must balance cost predictability, credit exposure, and asset preservation in accordance with each party's risk appetite and operational needs.

Conclusion

Effective maintenance management lies at the core of successful Different aircraft leasing. mechanisms-hourly maintenance reserves, returncondition settlements. full-life or half-life arrangements, and **OEM-managed PBH** programmes-serve the shared objective of maintaining airworthiness while protecting asset The hourly-based system remains industry standard for mid-life aircraft and less creditworthy operators, as it ensures consistent funding for heavy maintenance. In contrast, returncondition and OEMPBH structures better suit newer aircraft and financially robust airlines seeking cashflow efficiency. No single approach is universally applicable. Instead, the chosen maintenance structure reflects a negotiated balance of technical, financial, and market factors. When well-designed, such arrangements support the dual imperatives of aviation leasing: operational reliability for the airline and value preservation for the owner.

P: +966 11 277 7786

W: +966 59 086 2600

Abu Bakar Alsiddiq Road, An Nafal,

Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

https://tasheellawfirm.com/